



A WELLNESS INITIATIVE FOR THE NATION

SUMMARY DOCUMENT

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Wellness Initiative for the Nation (WIN) is to **proactively prevent disease and illness, promote health and productivity, and create well-being and flourishing for the people of America**. WIN can also prevent the looming fiscal disaster in our health care system. In fact, effectively addressing preventable chronic illness and creating a productive, self-care society is our only long-term hope for changing a system that costs too much and is delivering less health and little care to fewer people.^{1, 3}

OVERVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

★ The overarching recommendation is to **create a Wellness Initiative for the Nation focused on promotion of health through lifestyle change and integrative health practices**. WIN would be overseen by the White House, with a Director and staff to guide relevant aspects of health reform, as described in the recent report, *The Health Care Delivery System: A Blueprint for Reform (the "Blueprint")*.⁴

★ WIN will focus primarily on **addressing a persistent recommendation of participants in the White House Forum on Health Reform to invest in and improve prevention and public health and support development of an educational workforce and informational toolkit for delivery of this goal in local populations**.⁵ WIN leadership will provide program analysis, develop policies, guide curriculum and evidence standards, and establish incentives and mechanisms that support these efforts in national health care reform.

★ **WIN will align with overarching goals** of the "Blueprint" and Healthy People 2010 (Increasing Quality and Years of Healthy Life and Eliminating Health Disparities)⁶ and link to recommendations such as the "Wellness Trust,"⁷ a "Federal Health Reserve,"⁸ the Institute of Medicine's reports on health care quality,⁹ transformation,¹⁰ integrative medicine,¹¹ and the White House Commission on Complementary and Alternative Medicine Policy.¹²

★ The initial step of WIN is to **create an Executive office**, with a Director and staff, specifically focused on developing policies and programs for lifestyle-based chronic disease prevention and management, integrative health care practices and health promotion.



The initial step towards a Wellness Initiative for the Nation (WIN) is to create an Executive office, with a Director and staff, specifically focused on developing policies and programs for lifestyle-based chronic disease prevention and management, integrative health care practices and health promotion.





★ The policies and programs of WIN would be grounded in the **continuity of health and the prevention of illness throughout the human lifecycle** and would approach this continuity through comprehensive lifestyle and integrative health care approaches that have demonstrated effectiveness.

Other specific recommendations are as follows:

1. SYSTEMS WELLNESS ADVANCEMENT TEAMS NETWORK ("THE INNOVATORS")

- ★ Establish a network of Systems Wellness Advancement Teams (SWAT) with national and then local leaders in health promotion/disease prevention and integrative practices to maintain the wellness vision and guide the White House in the implementation of this new paradigm.
- ★ Empower the SWAT network to continuously evaluate and translate effective prevention and health promotion practices into local delivery tools and policy changes.
- ★ Create learning communities that evaluate and translate innovations in lifestyle and integrative health practices into new settings and populations across the network.

2. HEALTH AND WELLNESS PROFESSIONAL COACH TRAINING ("THE ADVOCATES")

- ★ Establish educational and practice standards in delivery of effective, comprehensive lifestyle and integrative health care approaches, and train individuals qualified to focus full-time on prevention, creating health and healing, and enhancing productivity and flourishing.
- ★ Facilitate any qualified and state licensed health care practitioner or educator to gain specialist certification in prevention, health and wellness delivery, or attain sub-specialist status for integrative health care delivery in specific settings and populations--for example, schools, worksites, health care settings, and long-term care facilities.
- ★ Create a Health Corps to provide an army of young and older people that would learn and model wellness behavior and support delivery of wellness education and training by the coaches.

3. HEALTH AND WELLNESS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TOOLKIT ("THE AVATARS")

- ★ Create an advanced information tracking and feedback system (an applied health promotion technology toolkit) for delivery of personalized wellness education, customized to each person's level of readiness, IT capabilities and stage of life.
- ★ Interface this applied wellness toolkit with electronic health records for use by the public, the health and wellness coaches, the Health Corps, and the medical and health care delivery systems.
- ★ Coalesce current health promotion/prevention knowledge into a science-based Health Quotient Index (HQI) for personalized delivery of information to individuals and communities through multiple interface.

4. ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL INCENTIVES ("THE INDUSTRY")

- ★ Create economic incentives (through bundling, capitation, premium reductions, tax reductions and other methods) for individuals, communities, and public and private sector institutions to create and deliver self-care training, wellness products and preventive health care practices.
- ★ Establish intellectual property protection policies that reward wellness innovations, using the latest technologies with evidence-based and comparative cost-value determinations.



- ★ Establish incentives for both personal and community activities that establish social and cultural change, which creates public wellness values and a flourishing society.

These recommendations are designed to work in a coordinated fashion on the specific leverage points of cultural and institutional change. If applied in concert, these recommendations are a “triple multiplier” of health, productivity and economic stimulus for the country by: 1) creating new jobs in the educational, health and technology sectors; 2) increasing health and productivity across the population in both the short and long-run; and, 3) stimulating innovation and investment by the private sector into the creation of a health and wellness industry and society.



The United States is first in spending for health care but 37th in health status among industrialized nations. If applied in concert, these recommendations are a “triple multiplier” of health, productivity and economic stimulus for our nation.



A PHASED PROGRAM

The WIN will use a phased approach to assure that prevention and health promotion programs are rolled out in a coordinated, systematic, stepwise and effective manner with full input from the public and stakeholders involved in wellness delivery. **Recommended phases** include:

- Phase 1:** *Create a working group and coordinating office overseen by the White House* that is specifically focused on creating policies and programs for lifestyle-based chronic disease prevention and management, integrative health care practices and health promotion;
- Phase 2:** *Establish a lead Systems Wellness Advancement Team (SWAT)* of national leaders in health promotion, disease prevention, and integrative practices to guide the office;
- Phase 3:** *Define the “new paradigm”* – the key vision, strategies, and tactics and the effective elements and metrics of comprehensive lifestyle and integrative health care practices that will be the focus of WIN;
- Phase 4:** *Collate, coordinate and align current health promotion and prevention policy efforts* such as, House Concurrent Resolution 406, The Health Promotion First Act, the Healthy Workforce Act, The Health Project, the 1st Dollar Clinical Preventive Services Coverage, the Medicare Improvement Act, the Public Health Advisory Committee, and consensus statements by the Partnership for Prevention, the Prevention Institute, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, and other programs and recommendations;¹³
- Phase 5:** Use and evaluate current Department of Defense, Veterans Health Affairs, Medicare and workforce health, performance enhancement and wellness initiatives to rapidly *establish models for delivery of national WIN projects*;
- Phase 6:** *Create and evaluate new demonstration projects* in each of the WIN lifecycle populations (e.g., children, worksites and aging; see below for details) to improve the cost-value of national programs created by the WIN; set up selection, modeling and evaluation parameters using indices such as COMPARE and Health Impact Assessment (HIA) processes;^{14 15}
- Phase 7:** *Create parallel legislative tracks* to support and incentivize effective public and private wellness initiatives throughout the nation;



BACKGROUND

Too many Americans go without high-value preventive services and health promotion practices.⁶ As a result, they get sick and utilize expensive medical interventions.¹ Examples of underutilized preventive and health promotion practices include cancer screening to prevent advanced colon disease, immunizations to protect against flu or pneumonia, fitness and resilience training to enhance productivity and well-being, self-care and integrative health practices to treat chronic pain and enhance healing, and healthy lifestyle education to prevent diabetes, hypertension, stroke, cardiovascular disease and cancer.



The nation (and increasingly the world) faces epidemics of obesity, mental illness and chronic disease, as well as new threats of pandemic flu and bioterrorism.^{16,17} Yet despite all of this, less than four cents of every health care dollar is spent on prevention and public health.¹⁸ We are first in spending for health care and 37th in health of the industrialized nations.¹⁹ At current cost rates, health care will make up 25% of the GNP by 2025 and 49% by 2082!²⁰ The first of the “baby boomers” will turn 65 in 2011, creating an avalanche of aging care needs that will bury the current Medicare system. Our health care system is a broken disease treatment system, and the time for change is well overdue.

*In his book *The Power of Progress*, John Podesta summarizes the situation succinctly. “It is not enough to merely expand access to the current system. Americans must also secure better value for their health care dollars through improved health care quality, outcomes, and efficiency. First, we must create a national focus on disease prevention and health promotion. The United States is plagued by preventable diseases that have a devastating impact on personal health and contribute to the nation’s soaring health costs. Yet our current system focuses on treating these diseases after they occur, rather than promoting good health and reducing the incidence of disease in the first place.”² (pg. 182)*



True prevention and health promotion requires something different than just access to current services. It requires a new vision of health and disease based on the primary components of human flourishing. Science has now clearly demonstrated a radically new view of chronic health and disease than the one developed over 100 years ago and currently in use. No longer is it reasonable to wait until disease reaches an advanced diagnostic threshold before our system provides expensive interventions.

THE COST OF AVOIDABLE CHRONIC ILLNESS

- ★ A recent Milken Institute report showed that the combined cost of the top seven modifiable chronic diseases (cancer, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, heart disease, pulmonary conditions, and mental disorders) exceeds \$270 billion per year in direct care costs and, with the addition of lost productivity, reaches over \$1 trillion annually.²¹
- ★ These costs are largely avoidable by changes in behavior. A modest focus on prevention, early intervention and behavioral change could save annually in treatment and productivity loss costs an estimated \$217 billion and \$1.6 trillion, respectively. This could add over \$6.9 trillion to the GDP between now and 2023—27% of the GDP’s economic impact.
- ★ Modest gains in just smoking and obesity control, for example, would reduce illness in the top seven conditions by 24-30 million, save up to \$100 billion in treatment costs, and add from \$340-500 billion to the GDP in the next 15 years.

- ★ Application of the top 20 proven clinical preventive services (CPS) would save an additional \$4 billion in treatment costs and increase quality of life years by over 2 million.²² WIN will focus on effective delivery for the 10 CPS recommendations that address core primary prevention and lifestyle change factors.²²
- ★ Suffering associated with chronic disease and pain produces an even greater burden, the cost of which is not quantifiable. Self-care practices can reduce pain, improve quality of life and enhance well-being.^{23, 24, 25} Complementary health care practices are especially useful in this regard.^{26,27}


CAUSES OF AVOIDABLE CHRONIC DISEASE

- ★ Seventy percent of avoidable costs could be mitigated by behavior changes that involve healthy lifestyle development, wellness enhancement, and early detection and intervention for the conditions listed above. Two-thirds of chronic illness is caused by lifestyle and behavioral factors that are influenced by our mental, social or physical environments.²⁸
- ★ Five behavioral factors contribute the most to mitigating costs and to increasing sustainable wellness. These are: 1) reducing toxic substance exposure (smoking, alcohol, drugs and pollution); 2) sufficient exercise; 3) healthy diet; 4) psychosocial integration and stress management; and 5) early detection and intervention.^{21, 29}
- ★ To achieve gains in wellness and productivity requires a change in the nature of the culture and services provided to our communities. Increased access to our current disease treatment system is not sufficient and will increase costs. We need a concerted investment in creating a flourishing human capital focused on prevention, productivity, healing and well-being.³⁰

COMPONENTS OF HUMAN HEALTH BEHAVIOR AND PRODUCTIVITY OPTIMIZATION

We know now that health and disease are a continuum and we know the fundamental elements that move us along that continuum. Both before and after the threshold, between health and disease, the basic elements of health promotion can slow or prevent chronic disease progression and enhance function, productivity and well-being. No matter what the illness or stage of life we now know that the same components of human health behavior and productivity optimization apply. These components are:

- 1. *Stress Management and Resilience.*** The first component is the induction of mind-body states known to counter the stress response and improve readiness and motivational factors for lifestyle change. Recent research has demonstrated that mind/body practices can be taught and can counter the physical and psychological effects of stress, prevent PTSD, increase fitness and weight management, and enhance cognitive and physical function.
- 2. *Physical Exercise and Sleep.*** The second component is physical exercise. Optimum physical exercise can reduce stress hormone swings and improve brain function, improve fitness and enhance weight control. Fitness, along with proper rest and sleep, and rapid management of injury from physical training, will maintain functioning and productivity.





- 3. *Optimum Nutrition and Substance Use.* Third, ideal weight and optimal physiological function occurs best in the context of proper nutrition and reduced exposure to chemicals (such as smoking, alcohol and drugs) that impair function. Food and substance management requires systematic motivational systems, environmental control, food and substance selection training, and family and community involvement.
- 4. *Social Integration.* Finally, the social environment is key. Social integration is not only health enhancing in its own right, but is essential for sustainability of behavior change. Health promotion is best achieved in a group and community context, in which common issues in the culture around behavior and lifestyle change are valued and shared with peers, friends and family. Both health and happiness are socially contagious. Social integration allows individuals, their families and communities the opportunity to spread healthy behavior and find day-to-day solutions for maintaining well-being and resilience.

A culture and industry that values and optimizes these components will produce a flourishing, productive society. In addition, the impending economic disaster of continuing to solely apply the current sickness treatment system to our rapidly aging population can be altered at its core. The policy recommendations of WIN are designed to focus directly on optimizing these components for individuals and communities.

CREATING SOURCES OF PREVENTION, PRODUCTIVITY, HEALING AND WELLNESS

★ Public policy should support, stimulate and enhance each individual’s inherent wellness and healing capacities since this provides the most powerful force we have for maintaining health and productivity when well, and for enhancing recovery and well-being when ill. ³¹

★ Approaches to the prevention of chronic disease, detection of early risk factors, and enhancement of well-being are well known but not done well or systemically by our health care system. ¹

★ Central to a new model of prevention and health care are the development of Optimal Healing Environments (OHE) ³² and integrative health care practices ²⁷ that can support and stimulate inherent healing capacities on mental, social, spiritual and physical levels. As described below, many of these practices provide lower cost alternatives to current conventional practices. ^{33, 34}

★ Of the “Blueprint” recommendations, WIN will focus specifically on supporting areas in “Patient Activation” (pp 81-95) and “Public Health” (pp. 96-111) but also contribute to other areas, including nurse and geriatric training (p. 9, 23), wellness information technology (p. 27, 47), and developing bundling, capitation and tax relief approaches for delivery of evidence-based health promotion and integrative health care practices (p. 69-71).



Public policy should support, stimulate and enhance each individual’s inherent wellness and healing capacities since this provides the most powerful force we have for maintaining health and productivity when well, and for enhancing recovery and well-being when ill.



MODELS OF SUCCESSFUL PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION

THE MILITARY

The military has been at the forefront of health promotion and performance enhancement innovations for decades and has recently developed a renewed effort in “human performance optimization”. ³⁵ The non-profit Samuelli Institute is working closely with a coalition of military partners to develop the next generation “Systems Wellness System” as a

model for combining systems biology with lifestyle change to develop personalized prevention and health promotion tools.³⁶ This program could become a model for national application.

HEALTH CARE DELIVERY SYSTEMS

The health care delivery industry has a major role in advancing prevention and wellness and the “Blueprint” is primarily focused on this area. A recent study of eight “exemplar” OHE programs in health systems demonstrated the ways in which WIN could translate current innovations in health promotion and healing into our health care systems.³⁷ The use of health information technology could further extend skills in health promotion and self-care and disease management beyond the walls of the hospital and into communities and the home.³⁸

SELF-CARE AND INTEGRATED CARE

The widespread application of selected, evidence-based integrated health care practices could markedly improve quality of life and reduce costs.³⁴ Behavioral and mind-body practices have been repeatedly demonstrated to enhance quality of life, improve self-care and reduce costs.³⁹ Acupuncture has now been definitively shown to improve chronic pain conditions (head, neck, knee and back) at almost twice the rate of guideline-based conventional treatment.^{40, 41} Massage may be even more cost effective in back pain.⁴² Massage has also been shown in multiple studies to accelerate recovery of premature babies, with projected cost savings of \$4.7 billion per year if widely used.⁴³ Training retired persons to deliver this infant massage results in reduced depression and enhanced quality of life in those giving the massage – a double benefit.⁴⁴ Herbs and dietary supplements are widely used by the population but with little to no guidance on what is safe and effective.⁴⁵ Under current policies, these practices and products are not sufficiently profitable to provide economic incentives for research and investment. Thus, they remain under-investigated and unutilized at the expense of higher cost and more heroic treatment approaches. A properly focused wellness policy would change this situation.

WORKSITES

It is now well established that multi-component worksite wellness programs enhance productivity, well-being and return on investment (ROI) in industry.⁴⁶ For example, Procter and Gamble and the Dow Chemical Company have improved productivity and reduced health care costs, with a positive ROI for their programs at multiple sites.^{47, 48} Companies are now extending these efforts to reducing costs of medical treatment and for chronic disease prevention and management.⁴⁹ Health promotion efforts for America’s workers is a double multiplier for the economy by improving productivity and creating jobs.⁵⁰ The C. Everett Koop Awards of The Health Project have selected some of the most successful and innovative health promotion programs that could be applied nationally by the WIN.⁵¹

COMMUNITY-BASED PROGRAMS

Community-based, comprehensive lifestyle modification programs have demonstrated effectiveness for mitigating cardiovascular risk factors⁵², stroke prevention⁵³, smoking cessation⁵⁴, treating obesity⁵⁵ and osteoporosis⁵⁶, and diabetes prevention⁵⁷, as well as other chronic conditions.⁵⁸ In Japan, comprehensive lifestyle modification programs including physical exercise and diet/nutrition education have been implemented and extensively evaluated in work sites and in elderly populations, and results have shown dramatic improvements for obesity and lifestyle-related disease.⁵⁹





The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has targeted community-based programs as an effective vehicle for delivering health promotion and disease prevention campaigns.



The Wellness Initiative for the Nation will select the best of these programs and develop policies to establish them throughout the United States. The long-term impact of such policies would be a golden age of health, productivity and well-being; a flourishing and great society.



CHILDREN

Wellness must start with children by teaching them lifelong healthy habits. Healthy habits need to be a core competency delivered by our educational system. A number of exemplar programs in schools have produced major impacts on wellness behavior, including effects on obesity. For example, a school program in underserved elementary school children has demonstrated improved health behaviors that spread to families and the surrounding community.⁶⁰ Other examples are the *Planet Health Program*, and the *VERB Program*.^{61, 62, 63, 64, 65} The *Wellspring Academy's* schools, camps and community programs have produced marked success in improved weight management, enhanced self-esteem and improved mental health during adolescence, a difficult time of life to affect change.^{61, 66}

AGING

Our population is rapidly aging, resulting in ballooning of chronic disease and illness. The majority of health care costs are expended in the last years of life. The older population is highly motivated for self-care and makes extensive use of complementary and alternative practices, some helpful and some harmful.^{67, 68} Extending functional years through prevention (such as vaccination), early detection (such as screening), lifestyle and self-management training can also reduce costs of chronic disease treatment. For example, simple procedures (such as providing a health coach or call nurse) significantly reduce health care costs and mortality in cardiovascular disease.⁶⁹ Extension of health care into the home with TeleHealth (the delivery of health-related services and information via telecommunications technologies) could further maintain function and reduce costs in the senior population up to 70% with current technologies.^{70, 71 72} A set of recently funded Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) demonstration projects of health promotion in older people are examples of programs that serve as national models under the WIN.⁷³

THE UNDERSERVED

The widening gap in health disparities is one of the major moral failures of our society.⁷⁴ Social isolation and socio-economic class are major determinants of chronic disease and premature death.²⁸ The poor often seek out and use self-care and complementary medical practices, but get little guidance on which practices are effective or harmful.⁷⁵ Self-care and integrative health care approaches, when properly delivered, can significantly improve health in these populations. For example, a recent Medicaid demonstration project providing integrative health care found an 86% reduction in pain, 25% reduction in health care utilization and 20% reduction in prescription drug use in an underserved community.^{76, 77} The Samuelli Institute, along with the Institute for Alternative Futures and the Health Resources and Services Administration recently brought together integrated health care programs for the underserved as possible models for WIN.⁷⁵

These examples are only a few of the practices that could improve health, productivity and well-being, and reduce costs from disease and disability in our nation. For other examples involving disease screening, vaccination, nutritional practices and educational programs, see the governmental summaries on those areas.^{6, 78, 79-87}

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS



This document was coordinated by The Samuelli Institute in collaboration with the Integrated Healthcare Policy Consortium and many other visionary healthcare leaders.

REFERENCES

1. Editorial: Tackling the burden of chronic diseases in the USA. *Lancet*. 373; 981. January 17, 2009. See also: Park A. America's health check-up. *Time Magazine*. 2008; December 1:41-51.
2. Podesta J. *The Power of Progress: How America's Progressives Can (Once Again) Save Our Economy, Our Climate, And Our Country*: Crown / RandomHouse 2008.
3. Schoen C, Osborn R, How SK, Doty MM, Peugh J. In Chronic Condition: Experiences Of Patients With Complex Health Care Needs, In Eight Countries, 2008. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. Nov 13 2008.
4. Center for American Progress and the Institute on Medicine as a Profession. *The Health Care Delivery System: A Blueprint for Reform* 2008. http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2008/10/health_care_delivery.html Accessed January 2, 2009.
5. White House Forum on Health Reform Report. http://www.whitehouse.gov/assets/documents/White_House_Forum_on_Health_Reform_Report.pdf. March 5, 2009 Accessed April 16, 2009.
6. Healthy People. <http://www.healthypeople.gov/> Accessed December 2, 2009.
7. Lambrew J, Podesta J, Center fAP. Promoting prevention and preempting costs: A new wellness trust for the United States. *Center for American Progress*. 2006; http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2006/10/pdf/health_lambrew.pdf.
8. Daschle T, Lambrew J, Greenberger S. *Critical: What We Can Do About the Health Care Crisis*. New York: St. Martin's Press; 2008.
9. Institute of Medicine. *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A new health system for the 21st century*. Washington, DC: National Academic Press; 2001.
10. Adams K, Corrigan J. *Priority Areas for National Action: Transforming Health Care Quality*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
11. Institute of Medicine. *Complementary and Alternative Medicine in the United States*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2005.
12. *White House Commission on Complementary and Alternative Medicine Policy: Final Report* 2002. www.whccamp.hhs.gov Accessed December 2, 2008.
13. Samuelli Institute. Alignment of the WIN. <http://www.siib.org/news/news-home/112-SIIB.html>. 2009; Accessed January 21, 2009.
14. Cole B, Fielding J. Building Health Impact Assessment (HIA) Capacity: A strategy for Congress and Government Agencies. *A Prevention Policy Paper Commissioned by Partnership for Prevention* [http://thehill.com/wppdf/PfP_HealthImpactAssessment.pdf]. Accessed January 20, 2009.
15. RAND COMPARE. <http://www.randcompare.org/> Accessed January 20, 2009.
16. World Health Organization. *Primary Health Care: Now More Than Ever*. Washington, DC: World Health Organization; 2008. <http://www.who.int/whr/2008/en/index.html> Accessed January 2, 2009.
17. Demyttenaere K, Bruffaerts R, Posada-Villa J, et al. Prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *JAMA*. Jun 2 2004;291(21):2581-2590.
18. Lambrew J. *A Wellness Trust to Prioritize Disease Prevention*: Brookings Institute; April 2007. www3.brookings.edu/views/papers/200704lambrew.pdf Accessed December 2, 2008.
19. World Health Organization. *Health Systems: Improving Performance*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2000. www.who.int/whr/2000/en Accessed December 2, 2008.
20. Congressional Budget Office. *The Long-Term Outlook for Health Care Spending* November, 2007 www.cbo.gov/ftpdocs/87xx/doc8758/11-13-LT-Health.pdf Accessed December 2, 2008.



21. DeVol R, Bedroussian A, Charuworn A, et al. *An Unhealthy America: The Economic Burden of Chronic Disease -- Charting a New Course to Save Lives and Increase Productivity and Economic Growth*. Santa Monica, CA: Milken Institute; October 2007.
22. Partnership for Prevention. *Real Health Reform Starts with Prevention* December 2008. www.prevent.org/HealthReform Accessed January 2, 2009.
23. Lorig KR, Sobel DS, Ritter PL, Laurent D, Hobbs M. Effect of a self-management program on patients with chronic disease. *Eff Clin Pract*. Nov-Dec 2001;4(6):256-262.
24. Duensing L. Shifting the health care paradigm: An interview with Wayne Jonas, MD, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Samueli Institute. *The Pain Practitioner*. 2008;18(2):48-54.
25. Brown K. Biopsychosocial perspectives of chronic pain, depression and effective medical therapeutics. *The Pain Practitioner*. 2008;18(2):38-47.
26. Snyder M, Wieland J. Complementary and alternative therapies: what is their place in the management of chronic pain? *Nurs Clin North Am*. Sep 2003;38(3):495-508.
27. Jonas WB, Levin J. *Essentials of Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999.
28. McGinnis JM, Russo P, Knickman J. The case for more active policy attention. *Health Affairs*. 2002;21(2):78-93.
29. McGinnis JM. A vision for health in our new century. *Am J Health Promot*. Nov-Dec 2003;18(2):146-150.
30. Schroder S. We can do better-improving the health of the American people. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:1221-1228.
31. Walach H, Jonas WB. Placebo research: the evidence base for harnessing self-healing capacities. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2004;10(Suppl):S103-S112.
32. Chez R, Pelletier K, Jonas WB. Toward optimal healing environments in health care: Second American Samueli Symposium. *J Altern Complement Ther*. 2004;10(Suppl 1).
33. Herman PM, Craig BM, Caspi O. Is complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) cost-effective? A systematic review. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2005;5:11.
34. Debas H, Laxminarayan R, Straus S. Complementary and alternative medicine. In: Jamison D, Breman J, Measham A, Alleyne G, Claeson M, eds. *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries*. 2nd Edition: The World Bank Group; 2006:1281-1291.
35. Deuster PA, O'Connor FG, Henry KA, et al. Human performance optimization: an evolving charge to the Department of Defense. *Mil Med*. Nov 2007;172(11):1133-1137.
36. Samueli Institute. Toward a Systems Wellness System. <http://www.siib.org/research/research-home/systems-wellness-system.html>. Accessed December 2, 2008.
37. Samueli Institute. Knowledge Center for Optimal Healing Environments. <http://www.siib.org/research/research-home/optimal-healing.html> Accessed December 2, 2008.
38. Eng T. *The eHealth landscape: a terrain map of an emerging information and communication technology in health and health care*. Princeton, NJ: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; 2001.
39. Sobel DS. MSJAMA: mind matters, money matters: the cost-effectiveness of mind/body medicine. *JAMA*. Oct 4 2000;284(13):1705.
40. Haake M, Muller HH, Schade-Brittinger C, et al. German Acupuncture Trials (GERAC) for chronic low back pain: randomized, multicenter, blinded, parallel-group trial with 3 groups. *Arch Intern Med*. Sep 24 2007;167(17):1892-1898.
41. Diener HC, Kronfeld K, Boewing G, et al. Efficacy of acupuncture for the prophylaxis of migraine: a multicentre randomised controlled clinical trial. *Lancet Neurol*. Apr 2006;5(4):310-316.
42. Cherkin DC, Sherman KJ, Deyo RA, Shekelle PG. A review of the evidence for the effectiveness, safety, and cost of acupuncture, massage therapy, and spinal manipulation for back pain. *Ann Intern Med*. Jun 3 2003;138(11):898-906.
43. Scafidi F, Field T, Schanberg S. Factors that predict which preterm infants benefit most from massage therapy. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics*. 1993;14(3):176-180.
44. Field T, Hernandez-Reif M, Quintino O, Schanberg S, C K. Elder retired volunteers benefit from giving massage therapy to infants. *J Applied Gerontology*. 1998b;17:229-239.



45. Barnes P, Bloom B, Nahin R. *Complementary and alternative medicine use among adults and children: United States, 2007*. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; December 10, 2008 <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr012.pdf> Accessed January 2, 2009.
46. Pelletier KR. A review and analysis of the clinical and cost-effectiveness studies of comprehensive health promotion and disease management programs at the worksite: update VI 2000-2004. *J Occup Environ Med*. Oct 2005;47(10):1051-1058.
47. *The Business of Health-The Health of Business: Building the case for health, safety and wellness* 2006. <http://www.iblf.org/docs/BizofHealth.pdf> Accessed December 2, 2008.
48. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Fact Sheet: Workplace Health Promotion/Wellness. <http://www.workplace.samhsa.gov/ResourceCenter/r305.pdf>. 1998.
49. Pelletier KR. Corporate Health Improvement Program (CHIP). <http://www.drpelletier.com/chip/index.html> Accessed December 2, 2008.
50. Special Committee on Health, Productivity, and Disability Management. Healthy Workforce/Healthy Economy: The Role of Health, Productivity, and Disability Management in Addressing the Nation's Health Care Crisis: Why an emphasis on the Health of the Workforce is Vital to the Health of the Economy. *J Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. 2009;51(1):114-119.
51. The Health Project: Reducing health care costs through improved health behavior. <http://healthproject.stanford.edu/>. Accessed December 2, 2008.
52. Pazoki R, Nabipour I, Seyednezami N, Imami SR. Effects of a community-based healthy heart program on increasing healthy women's physical activity: a randomized controlled trial guided by Community-based Participatory Research (CBPR). *BMC Public Health*. 2007;7:216.
53. Sit JW, Yip VY, Ko SK, Gun AP, Lee JS. A quasi-experimental study on a community-based stroke prevention programme for clients with minor stroke. *J Clin Nurs*. Feb 2007;16(2):272-281.
54. Andrews J, Bentley G, Crawford S, Pretlow L, Tingen M. Using community-based participatory research to develop a culturally sensitive smoking cessation intervention with public housing neighborhoods. *Ethn Dis*. 2007;17(2):331-337.
55. Pettman TL, Misan GM, Owen K, et al. Self-management for obesity and cardio-metabolic fitness: Description and evaluation of the lifestyle modification program of a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2008;5:53.
56. Pearson JA, Burkhart E, Pifalo WB, Palaggo-Toy T, Krohn K. A lifestyle modification intervention for the treatment of osteoporosis. *Am J Health Promot*. Sep-Oct 2005;20(1):28-33.
57. Satterfield DW, Volansky M, Caspersen CJ, et al. Community-based lifestyle interventions to prevent type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. Sep 2003;26(9):2643-2652.
58. Kerr J, ed. *Community Health Promotion: Challenges for Practice*: Bailliere Tindall; 2000.
59. Togami T. Interventions in local communities and work sites through Physical Activity and Nutrition Programme. *Obes Rev*. Mar 2008;9 Suppl 1:127-129.
60. Kain J, Uauy R, Albala, et al. School-based obesity prevention in Chilean primary school children: methodology and evaluation of a controlled study. *Int J Obesity*. 2004;28(4):483-493.
61. WellSpring Academies. <http://www.wellspringacademies.com/>. Accessed December 5, 2008.
62. Gortmaker SL, Peterson K, Wiecha J, et al. Reducing obesity via a school-based interdisciplinary intervention among youth: Planet Health. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. Apr 1999;153(4):409-418.
63. BMJ Health Intelligence 2007. <http://healthintelligence.bmj.com/hi/do/home> Accessed November 25, 2008.
64. van Sluijs EM, McMinn AM, Griffin SJ. Effectiveness of interventions to promote physical activity in children and adolescents: systematic review of controlled trials. *BMJ*. Oct 6 2007;335(7622):703.
65. Huhman ME, Potter LD, Duke JC, et al. Evaluation of a national physical activity intervention for children: VERB campaign, 2002-2004. *Am J Prev Med*. Jan 2007;32(1):38-43.
66. Kirschenbaum D, Craig R, Kelly K, Germann J. Treatment and innovation: Description and evaluation of new programs currently available for your patients. *Obesity Management*. 2007;DOI: 10.1089/obe.2007.0115:261-266.



67. Astin JA, Pelletier KR, Marie A, Haskell WL. Complementary and alternative medicine use among elderly persons: one-year analysis of a Blue Shield Medicare supplement. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. Jan 2000;55(1):M4-9.
68. Najm W, Reinsch S, Hoehler F, Tobis J. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among the ethnic elderly. *Altern Ther Health Med*. May-Jun 2003;9(3):50-57.
69. Coleman MT, Newton KS. Supporting self-management in patients with chronic illness. *Am Fam Physician*. Oct 15 2005;72(8):1503-1510.
70. Martin EM, Coyle MK. Nursing protocol for telephonic supervision of clients. *Rehabil Nurs*. Mar-Apr 2006;31(2):54-57, 62.
71. Noel HC, Vogel DC, Erdos JJ, Cornwall D, Levin F. Home telehealth reduces healthcare costs. *Telemed J E Health*. Summer 2004;10(2):170-183.
72. Amarasingham R, Plantinga L, Diener-West M, Gaskin DJ, Powe NR. Clinical information technologies and inpatient outcomes: a multiple hospital study. *Arch Intern Med*. Jan 26 2009;169(2):108-114.
73. Goetzel RZ, Shechter D, Ozminkowski RJ, et al. Can health promotion programs save Medicare money? *Clin Interv Aging*. 2007;2(1):117-122.
74. Newsreel C. Unnatural Causes...is inequality making us sick? <http://www.unnaturalcauses.org/>. 2008; Accessed December 2, 2008.
75. Bezold C, Calvo A, Fritts M, Jonas WB. Integrative medicine and health disparities: A scoping meeting. *A report produced by the Institute of Alternative Futures, Health Resources and Services Administration and the Samueli Institute*. 2008.
76. Sarnat RL, Winterstein J, Cambron JA. Clinical utilization and cost outcomes from an integrative medicine independent physician association: an additional 3-year update. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. May 2007;30(4):263-269.
77. NCMIC. The Integrator Blog. http://theintegratorblog.com/site/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id. Accessed December 2, 2008.
78. Center for Disease Control. www.cdc.gov. Accessed December 2, 2008.
79. *A series of issue briefs addressing many of the most important prevention policy issues facing the nation*. www.prevent.org/HealthReform/ Accessed January 21, 2009.
80. Recommendations from the US Preventive Services Task Force about effective clinical preventive services. www.ahrq.gov/clinic/prevenix.htm. Accessed January 2, 2009.
81. Recommendations from the Task Force on Community Preventive Services about effective community preventive services. www.thecommunityguide.org/. Accessed January 2, 2009.
82. Partnership for Prevention. http://www.prevent.org/component/option,com_frontpage/Itemid,1/. Accessed January 2, 2009.
83. Prevention Institute. <http://www.preventioninstitute.org/>. Accessed January 2, 2009.
84. The American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. <http://www.acoem.org/>. Accessed January 2, 2009.
85. Maciosek M, Coffield A, Edwards N, et al. Priorities among effective clinical preventive services: results of a systematic review and analysis. *Am J Prev Med*. 2006;31(1):52-61.
86. Partnership for Prevention. Principles for prevention-centered health reform. www.prevent.org/HealthReform/. 2007; Accessed January 20, 2008.
87. National Commission on Prevention Priorities. Preventive care: National profile on use, disparities, and health benefits. *Partnership for Prevention*. 2007; www.prevent.org/100,000Lives/ Accessed January 20, 2009.